#### PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

From the INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY To: WRITTEN OPINION OF THE see form PCT/ISA/220 INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (PCT Rule 43bis.1) Date of mailing (day/month/year) see form PCT/ISA/210 (second sheet) Applicant's or agent's file reference FOR FURTHER ACTION see form PCT/ISA/220 See paragraph 2 below International application No. International filing date (day/month/year) Priority date (day/month/year) PCT/CA2004/000853 09.06.2004 09.06.2003 International Patent Classification (IPC) or both national classification and IPC G06F19/00 Applicant MOUNT SINAI HOSPITAL This opinion contains indications relating to the following items: Box No. I Basis of the opinion ☐ Box No. II Priority ☐ Box No. III Non-establishment of opinion with regard to novelty, inventive step and industrial applicability ☐ Box No. IV Lack of unity of invention Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement ☐ Box No. VI Certain documents cited ☐ Box No. VII Certain defects in the international application Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application **FURTHER ACTION** If a demand for international preliminary examination is made, this opinion will usually be considered to be a written opinion of the International Preliminary Examining Authority ("IPEA"). However, this does not apply where the applicant chooses an Authority other than this one to be the IPEA and the chosen IPEA has notifed the International Bureau under Rule 66.1 bis(b) that written opinions of this International Searching Authority will not be so considered. If this opinion is, as provided above, considered to be a written opinion of the IPEA, the applicant is invited to submit to the IPEA a written reply together, where appropriate, with amendments, before the expiration of three months from the date of mailing of Form PCT/ISA/220 or before the expiration of 22 months from the priority date, whichever expires later. For further options, see Form PCT/ISA/220. For further details, see notes to Form PCT/ISA/220. Name and mailing address of the ISA: **Authorized Officer** 



European Patent Office D-80298 Munich Tel. +49 89 2399 - 0 Tx: 523656 epmu d Fax: +49 89 2399 - 4465

Sisk, A

Telephone No. +49 89 2399-6041



## WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY

International application No. PCT/CA2004/000853

_			
_	Вс	x N	o. I Basis of the opinion
<ol> <li>With regard to the language, this opinion has been established on the basis of the internation the language in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.</li> </ol>			egard to the <b>language</b> , this opinion has been established on the basis of the international application in inguage in which it was filed, unless otherwise indicated under this item.
	☐ This opinion has been established on the basis of a translation from the original language into the following language , which is the language of a translation furnished for the purposes of international search (under Rules 12.3 and 23.1(b)).		
2. With regard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application necessary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:			egard to any <b>nucleotide and/or amino acid sequence</b> disclosed in the international application and sary to the claimed invention, this opinion has been established on the basis of:
	a. type of material:		
			a sequence listing
			table(s) related to the sequence listing
	b. format of material:		
			in written format
			in computer readable form
c. time of filing/furnishing:		ime	of filing/furnishing:
			contained in the international application as filed.
			filed together with the international application in computer readable form.
			furnished subsequently to this Authority for the purposes of search.
3.		na co	addition, in the case that more than one version or copy of a sequence listing and/or table relating thereto s been filed or furnished, the required statements that the information in the subsequent or additional pies is identical to that in the application as filed or does not go beyond the application as filed, as propriate, were furnished.
4.	Additional comments:		

Box No. V Reasoned statement under Rule 43bis.1(a)(i) with regard to novelty, inventive step or industrial applicability; citations and explanations supporting such statement

1. Statement

Novelty (N)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-25

Inventive step (IS)

Yes: Claims

No: Claims

1-25

Industrial applicability (IA)

Yes: Claims

1-25

No: Claims

2. Citations and explanations

see separate sheet

### Box No. VIII Certain observations on the international application

The following observations on the clarity of the claims, description, and drawings or on the question whether the claims are fully supported by the description, are made:

see separate sheet

The following documents are referred to in this communication:

D1: KÜSTER B, MORTENSEN P, ANDERSEN JS, MANN M: "Mass Spectrometry allows direct identification of proteins in large genomes" PROTEOMICS, vol. 1, 2001, pages 641-650, XP009044622

#### Re Item VIII.

The application does not meet the requirements of Article 6 PCT because claims 1,10,11,14,15,19,20,22 are not clear.

Although claims 1,10,11,14,15,19,20,22 have been drafted as separate independent claims, they appear to relate effectively to the same subject-matter and to differ from each other only with regard to the definition of the subject-matter for which protection is sought and/or in respect of the terminology used for the features of that subject-matter. The aforementioned claims therefore lack conciseness. Moreover, lack of clarity of the claims as a whole arises, since the plurality of independent claims makes it difficult, if not impossible, to determine the matter for which protection is sought, and places an undue burden on others seeking to establish the extent of the protection.

However, despite this unclarity, an opinion will be given on the first independent claim in the application, method claim 1. No opinion has been given on the remaining independent claims.

#### Re Item V.

- a. Document D1, which is considered to represent the most relevant state of the art, discloses a method for the identification of proteins using databases of raw genomic data, comprising the following steps (see page 642):
  - extracting amino acid sequence information from a peptide sequence tag obtained by means of mass spectrometry
  - reverse translating the amino acid sequence into a corresponding nucleotide sequence
  - searching the genome data for the appropriate coding region
  - ranking the retrieved coding regions by comparing against the experimentally acquired mass spectrum (see Figure 2B and page 647).

# WRITTEN OPINION OF THE INTERNATIONAL SEARCHING AUTHORITY (SEPARATE SHEET)

International application No.

PCT/CA2004/000853

Thus D1 discloses the features of independent claim 1 and therefore claim 1 is not novel (Article 33(2) PCT).

- b. For the same reason, independent claim 1 is not inventive (Article 33(3) PCT).
- c Claims 2-9 are dependent on claim 1 and as such also do not meet the requirements of the PCT with respect to novelty and inventive step (Articles 33(2) and 33(3) PCT).